







| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO |
|-------------------------------------|-------------|----------------------|-------------------------|-----------------|
| 09/732,322 | 12/07/2000 | Jens Jensen | 5986/OH648 | 1566 |
| 7590 06/21/2004 | | EXAMINER | | |
| DARBY & DARBY P.C. | | | FREJD, RUSSELL WARREN | |
| 805 Third Avenue New York, NY 10022 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| 2.0 2011., 2 | | | 2128 | B |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 06/21/2004 | 4 |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|---|---|--------------|--|--|--|--|
| | 09/732,322 | JENSEN, JENS | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | Russell Frejd | 2128 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply | | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | | | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 Ju | <u>une 2002</u> . | | | | | |
| 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This | action is non-final. | | | | | |
| · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | |
| 4) Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-14 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o | wn from consideration. | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | |
| 9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | _ | | | | | |
| Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 2.4.5. | 4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other: | | | | | |

Serial Number: 09/732,322 Page 1

Art Unit: 2128

Examination of Application #09/732,322

1. Claims 1-14 of application 09/732,322, filed on 7-December-2000, are presented for examination.

Specification Objections

2. The disclosure is objected to because the specification contains an appendix. Patent Rules (Section 1.96) stipulate that only "computer program listings" may form an appendix. For this reason, applicant's appendix will not be printed if this application is issued. Furthermore, applicant must delete all reference to the appendix from the specification. If the specification requires this appendix, the information from the appendix may be amended into the specification, but however, no drawings will be allowed.

Claim Rejections under 35 U.S.C. § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3.1 Claims 1-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by the article authored by Jensen, entitled Optimization Method for Permanent-Magnet Structures, IEEE Transactions on Magnetics, Vol. 35, No. 6, November 1999.

Serial Number: 09/732,322 Page 2

Art Unit: 2128

3.2 Jensen disclosed the invention as claimed, including a nonlinear optimization method for designing permanent-magnet structures, wherein the method determines an optimal arrangement of magnetic material so that the magnitude of the remanence is spatially uniform and the field generated by the magnet satisfies a set of prescribed linear constraints.

Response Guidelines

4. A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire 3 (three) months and 0 (zero) days from the date of this letter. Failure to respond within the period for response will cause the application to become abandoned (see MPEP 710.02, 710.02(b)).

5. Any response to the Examiner in regard to this non-final action should be

directed to: Russell Frejd, telephone number (703) 305-4839, Monday-Friday

from 0630 to 1500 ET, **or** the examiner's supervisor, Kevin Teska, telephone number (703) 305-9704. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the

Group receptionist, telephone number (703) 305-3900.

mailed to: Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to: (703) 872-9306

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington. VA., Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Date: 14-June-2004

RUSSELL FREJD PRIMARY EXAMINER